

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,336	10/03/2003	Laurence B. Boucher	ALA-008H	9798
24501 7550 0409/2012 MARK A LAUER 6601 KOLL CENTER PARKWAY SUITE 245 PLEASANTON, CA 94566			EXAM	IINER
			DAVENPORT, MON CHERI S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
***************************************	1, 0113 1000		2462	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2012	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/678,336	BOUCHER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
MON CHERI DAVENPORT	2462

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED <u>24 February 2012</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA NO NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED	ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection. No Notice of Appeal has been one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evide 				
	31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with a not permitted in design applications. The reply must be filed within one of			
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date.	ate of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor in no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later	ry Action; or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
within 2 months of the mailing date of the final rejection. The curn the prior Advisory Action or SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection, whichever is earlier.			
FIRST RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S FIRST AFTER-FINA REJECTION. ONLY CHECK BOX (c) IN THE LIMITED SI	o) or (c). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THIS ADVISORY ACTION IS THE AL REPLY WHICH WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL TUATION SET FORTH UNDER BOX (c). See MPEP 706.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining he appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) or (c) above, if (1) the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any ea NOTICE OF APPEAL	period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The ne expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally ked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance wi Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time perior.	CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of			
AMENDMENTS	d 36t lotti 111 57 51 11 41.57(a).			
 The proposed amendments filed after a final rejection, but prior to 	the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because			
 a) They raise new issues that would require further considerat 				
 They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 				
 They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or 	for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for			
d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding	onding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	Training Training of Training Tojotica Statistics			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See a	attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 				
allowable claim(s).	f submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): (a) will not be entered, or (b) will be entered, and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after final action, but before or	on the date of filling a Notice of Anneal will not be entered because			
	s why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing the Notic because the affidavit or other evidence falled to overcome <u>all</u> rejec and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier pres 	tions under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the s REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	tatus of the claims after entry is below or attached.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NO See Continuation. 	· · · · ·			
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/ 	(08) Paper No(s)			
13. Other: TATUS OF CLAIMS				
4. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: .				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11 and 16-27.				
Claim(s) rejected: 1-11 and 16-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: .				
	Allahara Irrakina I			
	/Nittaya Juntima/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2462			

Continuation sheet

Continuation of 11.

Request for consideration does not place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

In the remarks on pg. 10, 12, 16, 18, 25, 26 and 29, the applicant contends that Kerr et al. does not teach or suggest "wherein said header conforms to a protocol above TCP"

Examiner respectfully disagrees Kerr et al. teaches in col. 3, lines 25-34, that the message flowincludes packet headers(plural) with will include the header info of length data, as well as headers that conform to a protocol above TCP.

In the remarks on pg. 13 and 22, the applicant contends that Kerr et al. does not teach or suggest "storing a second indicator in the destination entity"

Examiner respectfully disagrees office action also states Kerr et al. fails to teach this limitation. However Willkie et al. teaches col. 3, lines 45-65, a QMIP unit which receives and stores data from a set of modules, which comprises a memory which stores a received flow control indication from each module, the flow indicator indicates if transmission of data is to cease, the QMIP creates a frame which carries data information and flow control indication, the QMIP forward frame over the common data link).

In the remarks on pg. 19, the applicant contends that Kerr et al. does not teach or suggest "receiving, by said network interface an index of said first communication flow"

Examiner respectfully disagrees Kerr et al. teaches in col. 6, lines 31-49, the flow cache has bucket of entries of a database, which comprises pointer(the pointer reads on index).